

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/907,224	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SAGIV ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b> Peter Szekely	<b>Art Unit</b> 1714

**All Participants:****Status of Application:** Allowed(1) Peter Szekely. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.(2) Todd Garabedian. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.**Date of Interview:** 27 May 2004**Time:** 3:30 p.m.**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.****Rejection(s) discussed:***Anticipation and obviousness***Claims discussed:**

1-25

**Prior art documents discussed:***Rayner et al.***Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***The polymers in the invention will be restricted to a blend of a sulfonated polyurethane and an aliphatic polyurethane.***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)